



Jeffrey D. Bosworth
Chief Probation Officer

Probation Department Sierra County

P.O. Box 67
Downieville, California 95936
(530) 289-3277
FAX (530) 289-2821



Hon. Charles H. Ervin
Superior Court Judge

NOTICE OF MEETING AND AGENDA COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP (Criminal Justice Realignment- AB109/AB117) Monday March 27, 2017

The Sierra County Community Corrections Partnership will meet on Monday, March 27, 2017 at 1:15 p.m., in the main courtroom, Courthouse, Downieville, CA. All interested persons are invited to attend.

Call meeting to order and Introductions

1. Roll Call:
 - a. Executive Committee Members
 - b. Regular Committee Member
 - c. Other present
2. Approval of Agenda.
3. Public Comment Opportunity. (Public comment is limited to three minutes per person and not more than three individuals addressing the same subject).
4. Approval of minutes of meeting held February 24, 2017.
5. Update on obtaining a 52 week Domestic Violence Battery Program for Sierra County.
6. Update on Grant Application to Western Sierra Medical Clinic.
7. Discussion/Action on funding of contract for "Evidenced Based Alternative Treatment Services" for Drug/Collaborative Court Clients.
8. Review, comment and approval of the CJRF Compliance Report recommendations (pages 50-52) and authorization to submit amended/approved compliance report to the Board of Supervisors.

Adjourn



SIERRA COUNTY

COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP

February 24, 2017 Meeting Minutes

CCP Meeting – Downieville – Courtroom

1. **Call to Order and Introductions:** The Meeting was called to order by Chair Jeffrey Bosworth at 2:20 P.M.
2. **Roll Call:** Executive Committee members present: Chairman Chief Jeffrey Bosworth, Judge William Pangman (designee of Presiding Judge Charles H. Ervin), Tim Standley (Sheriff-Coroner), Larry Allen (District Attorney), and Jerry Lon Cooper (Public Defender) and Kathryn Hill (Assistant Director Sierra County Behavioral Health).
3. **Regular Committee members:** Scott Schlefstein (BOS) absent.
4. **Others present:** Candy Corcoran (Drug Court Coordinator).
5. **Agenda Approval.**

Motion made by Larry Allen to approve agenda, seconded by Judge Pangman. On a vote of 6 AYES, the motion carried.

6. **Public Comment Opportunity.**

No comment.

7. **November 7, 2016. Minutes Approval.**

Motion made by Sheriff Standley to approve the minutes, Kathryn Hill seconds, on a motion of 6 ayes, the motion carried.

8. **Update on obtaining a 52 week Domestic Violence Battery Program for Sierra County.**

Chair Bosworth stated that the Chief Probation Officer is responsible for approval of the domestic violence program but he hasn't receive any application in several years.

Kathryn Hill added that she did some research of the programs. Plumas County is in the process of approving a new program, CoRR in Grass Valley offers a program and also there are alternatives for videoconferencing certified programs. Kathryn continued to review the item explaining that the name of this program has changed, the new name is "Batterers' Intervention Program".

Judge Pangman commented that it is possible to approve all mentioned options. Jeff Bosworth indicated that programs out of State are a viable option as long it is a comparable program.

Chair Bosworth asked Kathryn Hill if she could compile all this information and submit it to him. Kathryn Hill agreed to submit the information by next Drug Court (March 08, 2017).

9. Update on Sheriff's request for funding of purchase of an inmate transport van.

Sheriff Standley would like to conduct additional studies and requested to table the item..

10. Update on "Evidenced Based Adjunctive Treatment Services" for Drug/Collaborative Court Clients.

Judge Pangman introduced the item explaining the program is up and running, Kathryn Hill as a clinical director is administrating it.

In response to Judge Pangman's inquiry regarding details of the program, Kathryn Hill indicated that the weather has slowed down treatment, today was her third round of appointments and the feedback is positive.

Chair Bosworth added there is a difference between beneficial and evidenced based. This program is not evidence base.

Judge Pangman responded he used those key words in order to obtain a program like this. There is supporting evidence documented by brain scan that corroborated this kind of program as evidence based, her particular treatment has not been tested as evidence based but the fundamental precepts are. This is a pilot program we will see if this is beneficial or not.

Judge Pangman indicated that Skyler Myers is not a Drug Court team member and she will not be attending the drug court meetings. Kathryn Hill as the administrator of the program will be providing relevant information and feedback to Skyler.

11. Update on Grant Application to Western Sierra Medical Clinic.

Judge Pangman reviewed the item explaining that there is some fundamental misunderstanding between what Western Sierra Medical Clinic was offering in the terms of the grant and what they have come out at this point. Judge did prepared a grant application that was submitted to Western Sierra Medical Clinic on December 12, 2016. There have been back and forward discussions.

Judge Pangman continued to review the item adding Western Sierra Medical Clinic would like to introduce a medical assisted treatment for drug court clients using their own staff, they want to partner with us providing services which is a little complicated because our services run through several providers.

Following further review of Western Sierra Medical Clinic grant conditions, Judge Pangman indicated that the MOU he has prepared will not be submitted to the BOS on March 7 as planned originally.

In response to Kathryn Hill inquiry regarding Skyler Myers funding to pay for her contract, Judge Pangman indicated that the contract has been approved and there is plenty of money to pay for her services in the CCP funding.

12. Update on MOU between the Sierra County Department of Human Services and The CCP for funding “Gap” Rehabilitation Services.

Judge Pangman informed the CCP committee that the MOU for “gap funding” has already been approved by the Board of Supervisors, CCP and Health and Human Services.

If Health and Human Services doesn’t have funding in some treatment category, they can request funding from the CCP and, there is an approved form that allows the request to be approved and funded.

13. Update on court Security funding shortfall between the Judicial Council allocation and the Sheriff’s Office bailiff billings.

Sheriff Standley explained that he doesn’t have any additional information since July 2016. In fiscal year 2015/16 the sheriff’s office had the shortfall since there was a lot of people coming to court with an unusually large rate of in- custody defendants at that time.

Chair Bosworth added the CCP funds could pay for bailiff services in parole violations.

Judge Pangman continue to review the item noting that the court used to have a direct contract with the Sheriff’s Office that was taken away a couple of years ago and the funding is between the state and the county not the court..

Sheriff Standley indicated that his office doesn’t manage these funds, they come directly to the auditor’s office.

14. Review of Updated CCP 2016 Budget.

Chair Bosworth distributed the modified CCP budget to the CCP committee. The changes to the approved budget were as follows:

- a) Adding 10% to the CCP salaries in order to compensate for recent salary raises,
- b) The transport van will be removed from the budget,
- c) Adding \$25,000 from AB109 startup funding, keeping \$75,000 from regular AB109 to pay for jail housing making a total of \$100,000, leaving the billing cycle as it is.

Motion made by Larry Allen to approve modified budget, seconded by Jerry Lon Cooper. On a vote of 6 AYES, the motion carried.

15. Update of California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) contracts.

Chair Bosworth reviewed the fact that the contract between CDCR and CCP to house felons with prison terms expires in June. We actually have used the contract twice this fall. The Chair further explained that the contract from when the inmates arrive at the gate to release is good but the problem is getting the inmates from the sentencing court to the gate, we have been working on this issue and we will continue working on that.

The contract doesn't describe the paperwork process between the sentencing court and CDCR to put the inmate at the CDCR gate.

16. Update on annual renewal of Electronic Monitoring Program.

Chief Bosworth indicated the first time the electronic monitoring program was submitted for approval to the BOS was very difficult but since then, the yearly approval of the rules and regulations of the electronic monitoring program has been easy.

Chief Bosworth continued to review the item explaining the new laws for flash incarceration includes an application matrix. Judge Pangman added the court would like to have an outline of any proposed matrix to be reviewed by the judges before it is submitted to the BOS for approval.

Judge Pangman reminded the CCP board that the compliance report and the approved budget will be submitted to the BOS for the March 7th BOS agenda.

Next meeting to be scheduled

ADJOURN

Judge Pangman made a motion to adjourn the meeting, seconded by Tim Standley. On a vote of 6 AYES, the motion carried.

Meeting adjourned at 3:12 p.m.



Jeffrey D. Bosworth
Chief Probation Officer

Probation Department Sierra County

P.O. Box 67
Downieville, California 95936
(530) 289-3277
FAX (530) 289-2821



Hon. Charles H. Ervin
Hon. Yvette Durant
Superior Court Judges

Background Packet for CCP meeting on 3/27/17

The board of supervisors has asked the CCP to review the recommendations of CJRF – which are quoted below. The board would like to know what action, if any, CCP will be taking on each recommendation.

There is also an issue with the last item, which will need to be discussed. The recommendation in the report is that a proposed grant with WSMC be used to finance the program (See Appendix D, part of which is quoted below). However, it appears that the program was started before the grant was fully operational. The consequence of that is I received a bill almost \$2300. This was paid, as there is a signed county contract, even though as far as I understand, it was never intended for CCP funds to finance the program. This situation needs to be vetted and clarified.

Please note that the question is whether or not this program should be funded by realignment dollars; it is not about whether or not it is a viable program or should be funded in other ways. Realignment dollars are supposed to be spent on “Evidenced Based Practices.” That term is defined in §1170.05(f)(2) as follows:

For purposes of this section, “evidence-**based** practices” means supervision policies, procedures, programs, and practices demonstrated by scientific research to reduce recidivism among individuals under probation, parole, or postrelease community supervision.

The CCP will have to make decisions on the following aspects of this pilot program:

1. If financing through other sources is not available, does the CCP want to examine funding the program with AB109 dollars.
2. If the CCP wishes to examine funding the program with realignment dollars, what assurances or evidence do we require that proves the program falls within the definition of “evidenced based” as described in the penal code.
3. If the CCP wishes to examine funding the program, what requirements will there be for billing and for progress reports, if any.

The following are the recommendations from the CJRF (Fred Campbell) report. They are quoted word for word (pages 50-52)

Agency: Sierra County Sheriff's

Office Recommendation #1: The sheriff's office has successfully been able to transition the change of the operational status for the Downieville jail from a 24-hour, seven-days-a-week custody facility to a day only holding detention facility. CJRF is recommending that the most efficient use of the limited sworn staff would be for the sheriff's office to purchase a specialty-built, six-person custody van to transport multiple detainees.

Recommendation #2: CJRF is recommending the sheriff's office and board of supervisors consider funding an additional dispatcher / jailer position to help handle the 911 communications workload. Continually having to use the supervisor to temporarily cover routine dispatcher staffing vacancies in addition to regular workload responsibilities is not an ideal approach for managing this essential public safety function. Routinely having to reassign deputies from patrol shift duties may also negatively affect incident response times, particularly if a serious public safety situation occurs when patrol staff are covering dispatch communications.

Recommendation #3: CJRF is recommending the sheriff's office make a request to the CCP to amend the budgeted fiscal year allocation contained in the CCP Integrated Plan from \$75,000 to \$95,000. This should provide sufficient funding to cover the cost the sheriff's office incurs for holding pretrial and sentenced county residents at the Nevada County jail. This increased allocation would include the housing cost for long-term detained inmates who are housed out-of-county.

Recommendation #4: CJRF is recommending that before the Nevada County jail inmate housing contract comes up for renewal in June 2017, that a group of key stakeholder representatives meet with the Nevada County Sheriff and other jail managers to get a written consensus on how the jail and superior court will implement video arraignment and case conferencing activities on a daily basis at the detention

facility. The agreed upon procedures and video technology process should also be included as a provision in any new contract in order to avoid and minimize practices which could affect the number of detainees the sheriff may need to transport for scheduled court hearings.

Agency: Sierra County Probation Department

Recommendation #1: CJRF is recommending probation undertake discussions with the Sierra County Health and Human Service agency to determine whether a pilot project proposal could be developed and initiated to address adult day reporting center (ADRC) service gaps. This could represent an effective approach to introduce cognitive counseling combined with case management services for ADRC referrals.

Recommendation #2: If a cognitive directed counseling component is not able to be implemented at the ADRC, CJRF is recommending the program designation be renamed and identified as the Sierra Community Corrections Resource Center. This change would better align the array of services and supervision model the probation department is currently following at the Loyalton field office. CJRF further suggests that new signage be designed, purchased, and installed at the program's site building. The signage should delineate the building as the ADRC or Sierra County Probation Community Corrections Resource Center.

Recommendation #3: CJRF is recommending the probation department begin documenting and reporting workload and service trend information which would show, by month, the number of participants, type / length of involvement with collaborating referral treatment / service agencies, dispositions and outcomes, particularly for individuals involved with structured cognitive behavioral counseling programs and other vital services. A important operational consideration for every criminal justice program involves the need for documenting and periodically reporting to boards of supervisors and public members program workload and service information. Currently, the ADRC has yet to develop and finalize procedures for collecting this type of information.

Agency: Sierra County Drug / Realignment / Collaborative Court

Recommendation #1: CJRF is recommending the drug / realignment / collaborative

court's management team, working with Sierra County Health and Human Services, develop and implement a new pilot program which would give the court greater timely access to professional licensed clinicians who can recommend treatment services and deal with participants who have psychotropic medication issues. An important part of the drug / realignment / collaborative court program involves the ability of the court to have more timely input from psychiatric clinicians and professional psychologists. These trained clinicians are important when the court must address psychotropic medication issues and set treatment services for participating offenders. The pilot program could include an expansion of existing contract services from clinicians HH&S currently use and access to a psychiatrist from Western Sierra Medical Clinic or other providers available through a new telemedicine technology link. The cost for the psychiatric services may be able to be covered from the grant the clinic has discussed in the past with the county. If it is determined that funding beyond that currently available to HH&S is needed, the agencies should develop a formal funding proposal which could be submitted to the CCP as part of the Integrated Implementation Plan.

Recommendation #2: CJRF is recommending the court's management / treatment team request the CCP to establish a line item account in the Integrated Implementation Plan to fund the cost of incentives / rewards staff must purchase for participants' treatment progress.

Recommendation #3: CJRF is recommending the court and the executive committee of the CCP augment the court's total funding allocation with a small dedicated reserve transportation account the court's management team can use on a case-by-case basis for defendants who totally lack transportation to meet mandated program treatment service appointments. This account is similar to the funding the CCP currently makes available to cover the cost of healthcare assessment services participants may need to complete the admission process. The inability of drug / realignment / collaborative court to respond to participants facing transportation needs while receiving treatment can be a significant impediment and contributing factor to program completion rates, particularly when offenders are trying to address persistent substance abuse problems.

Recommendation #4: CJRF recommends funding an "Evidence-Based Adjunctive

Therapy Pilot Program. CJRF recognizes the efficacy and need for non-drug based, healthy lifestyle programs like adjunctive therapy and that a pilot program be implemented with a highly trained professional to provide these services to the appropriately identified drug court participants. It is recommended that the service be provided through a professional service contract between the selected provider and HH&S. If the program is successful (as indicated by evidence based evaluation), the program would appropriately be made a permanent addition to the drug court therapy regime. The projected cost and funding source for the pilot program is identified in Appendix D).

From Appendix D:

Other Funding Sources:

Western Sierra Medical Clinic (WSMC) Grant

Grand Budget Allocations:

Grant Total \$50,000	\$50,000
Allocated	<u>\$28,630</u>
	\$21,370
Psychiatric Telemedicine	<u>\$10,000</u>
	\$11,370
Adjunctive Therapy	\$10,000
Reserve	\$1,370
